

論文 / 著書情報
Article / Book Information

Title	The presence of nitrate- and sulfate-reducing bacteria contributes to ineffectiveness souring control by nitrate injection
Authors	Kamarisima, K. Hidaka, K. Miyanaga, Y. Tanji
Citation	International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation, Vol. 129, pp. 81-88
Pub. date	2018, 2
DOI	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2018.01.007
Creative Commons	See next page.
Note	This file is author (final) version.

License



Creative Commons: CC BY-NC-ND

Title

Impact of nitrate addition on the bacteria population dynamics of sulfate-reducing and nitrate-reducing bacteria in the oilfield-produced water

Authors

Kamarisima, Kazuhiko Miyanaga, Yasunori Tanji*

Affiliations

School of Life Science and Technology, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 J2-15 Nagatsuta-cho, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8501, Japan

Tel.: +81 45 924 5763; fax: +81 45 924 5818.

*corresponding author: ytanji@bio.titech.ac.jp

Abstract

Nitrate injection has been widely used to minimize the production of biological hydrogen sulfide in oil and gas field industry. In environments exposed to seawater injection, nitrate is used to chemically and biologically control the growth of sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB). The aim of this study was to investigate the changes in the bacterial community in response to nitrate addition used to control biological souring. Specifically, we investigated the effect of nitrate addition in an artificial souring experiment, using diluted crude oil as substrate and electron donor. *Desulfotignum* sp. was the predominant SRB under all conditions tested. Addition of nitrate at the beginning (N_0) repressed the growth of SRB, as revealed by chemical and bacterial community analysis, concomitant with significant growth of the nitrate-reducing bacteria (NRB) *Thalassospira* sp. Nitrate addition after SRB growth (at day 28, N_{28}) successfully remediated the sulfide produced by SRB, but no significant reduction in sulfate was observed subsequently; moreover, the bacterial communities before and after nitrate addition remained identical. The phenomenon in the N_{28} experiment might be the result of the role of *Arcobacter* sp., which are nitrate-reducing sulfide-oxidizing bacteria, and/or the ability of *Desulfotignum* sp. to

27 reduce nitrate and/or nitrite as a stress response. Thus, SRB might persist after nitrate addition,
28 potentially causing subsequent SRB outbreaks.

29

30 **Keywords**

31 *Arcobacter*, *Desulfotignum*, nitrate injection, nitrate-reducing bacteria, souring, sulfate-reducing
32 bacteria, secondary recovery, *Thalassospira*

33

1. Introduction

In order to increase the productivity of crude oil, recovery methods have been developed. Water injection serves as main oil recovery method to be used whenever the natural drive became inefficient, known as secondary recovery (Plankaert, 2005). In offshore oil exploration, seawater is commonly injected into the reservoir, although this may cause several problems, including biological souring. Seawater contains high concentrations of sulfate (up to 27 mM) that can enhance the growth of sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) in the reservoir. Biological souring is a serious problem in the oil and gas industry because it deteriorates the quality of crude oil and increases both the environmental threat and production cost (Gieg et al. 2011).

To date, several methods for preventing and treating biological souring have been developed based on physicochemical and biological approaches, most of which seek to mask the activity of SRB. Widely used physiochemical approaches include injection of biocides (Jayaraman et al. 1999; Nemati et al. 2001; Tang et al. 2009; Yin et al. 2016), SRB metabolic inhibitors such as nitrite and molybdate (Nemati et al. 2001; Tang et al. 2009), or air injection to prevent anaerobic condition (Ochi et al. 1998). An alternative approach is nitrate injection, which seeks to promote the growth of nitrate-reducing bacteria (NRB) as competitors of SRB for the electron donors in the reservoir, such as volatile fatty acids (Agrawal et al. 2012). NRB stimulated by nitrate injection serve not only as competitors for SRB, but also as consumers of biological sulfide produced by microbial souring (De Gusseme et al. 2009); furthermore, the resultant nitrite, produced as an intermediate metabolite, can repress the growth of SRB (Tang et al., 2009). NRB that can reduce nitrate autotrophically in presence of sulfide are known as nitrate-reducing, sulfide-oxidizing bacteria (NR-SOB) (De Gusseme et al. 2009). Thus, nitrate injection might be used to prevent and treat souring.

Nitrate injection is an attractive solution to souring because nitrate is cost-effective, relatively non-toxic, and can distribute evenly in the reservoir (Dunsmore et al. 2006; Gieg et al. 2011). Field-test of nitrate injection (65mg/L) in high-temperature oil reservoir showed that nitrate injection could reduce sulfate-reducing prokaryotes (SRP) activity and seemed to affect microbial community in the reservoir

(Gittel et al. 2009). However, nitrate injection sometimes fails to control biological souring (Kjellerup et al. 2005; Kaster et al. 2007; Gieg et al. 2011). Specifically, the usage of nitrate injection in a low-temperature reservoir was associated with an SRB outbreak in the deeper zone of the reservoir, resulting in sulfide production (Agrawal et al. 2011). Meanwhile, the methods for predicting the optimal nitrate concentration for such applications continue to be debated. Application of nitrate is thought to be necessary for overcoming microbial souring under high carbon concentrations (Myhr et al. 2002). Hence, the information about the bacterial community before and after nitrate injection under biological souring conditions is required in order to design suitable approaches for these applications. Accordingly, the objective of this study was to investigate the effect of nitrate injection as a prevention and treatment method on the bacterial community before and after biological souring.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Artificial Souring Experiment

Oil field water (OFW) was taken from an oil field (Akita, Japan) in a 20 L poly-tank that was completely filled with a mixture of water/oil. The sample was kept at 4°C until use. This oil field has not previously been subjected to water flooding (the psychochemical characteristic of OFW was showed as supplementary information, Table SI-2). The inoculum used in this research was generated by condensing the OFW by centrifugation at $11,000 \times g$ for 15 min in a 500 mL centrifuge tube (Hasegawa et al. 2014). The original oil field water total bacteria concentration was 10^9 copies/ mL. The inoculum used in this study was 100 times concentrated oil field water, with total bacteria concentration 10^{11} copies/mL. The oil layer was separated and further used as the crude oil source (the psychochemical characteristic of crude oil was showed as supplementary information, Table SI-1). The pellet was washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS pH 7.2; 237 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na_2HPO_4 , 2mM K_2HPO_4) and diluted with 20 mL PBS for further use as the inoculum. Aliquots of 1 mL of OFW before and after condensation was subjected to 16S rRNA gene quantification to estimate total bacteria concentration.

The medium used in this study was filter-sterilized (0.22 μ M, MillexGV, Millipore) natural seawater collected from Ogasawara (Tokyo, Japan) (the psychochemical characteristic of natural sea water was showed as supplementary information, Table SI-3). Seawater (50 mL) was overlaid with 5 mL of 10% crude oil diluted in the biologically inert branched-chain alkane 2,2,4,4,6,8,8-heptamethylnonane (HMN). The medium was prepared in 70 mL glass vials, closed with butyl rubber caps, and autoclaved. The headspace was filled with N₂ gas. One milliliter of condensed OFW was used as the bacterial inoculum. Four conditions were set up in this study: N_{w/o} (without nitrate addition), N₀ (nitrate added at the beginning to prevent souring), N₂₈ (nitrate added at day 28 to treat souring), and abiotic control. All conditions were conducted in triplicate. On days 0 and 28, a solution of 1 M sodium nitrate solution was added to yield a final concentration of 27 mM; this concentration was chosen because it is identical to the level of sulfate in seawater. All vials were incubated horizontally at 30°C in the dark on a shaking incubator (80 rpm)

Every week, a 600 μ L sample of the water phase was taken. The sample was centrifuged at 6,500 \times g for 10 min; the resultant supernatant was used for chemical analysis, and the pellet was used for bacterial analysis. The pellet was washed twice with sterile PBS. Genomic DNA was extracted by the bead-beating method (Tanji et al. 2014) followed by phenol–chloroform extraction. The bead-beating procedure was conducted at 6.0 ms⁻¹ for 40 s on a FastPrep-24 Instrument (MP biomedical LLC, Santa Ana, CA). A NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Wilmington, DE) was used to assay and measure DNA quality and concentration. An oil-phase sample for crude oil analysis was taken every two weeks.

2.2 Chemical analysis

Sulfide concentration was measured using a kit based on the methylene blue method (NANOCOLOR standard experiment sulfide; Machery-Nagel Corp; Germany) (Trueper & Schlegel, 1964). Anion concentration was measured using ion chromatography (with TSK Gel Super Anion AZ column; TOSOH Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) with a flow rate of 0.8 mL min⁻¹. Volatile fatty acid concentration was measured using a High-Performance Liquid Chromatography system (SCR102H

column; CSS-10A detector; Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). The crude oil sample was analyzed using a gas chromatography system (GC2014; Shimadzu) equipped with a flame ionization detector (325°C) using helium and hydrogen as the carrier gas. The column used in this experiment as HP-PONA (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA), 50 mm × 0.2 mm (0.5 µm film thickness). The GC conditions were as described previously (Hasegawa et al. 2014). The crude oil we used originally contained 38 mM toluene. Pristane, a persistent fraction in crude oil, was used as an internal standard. The relative abundance of each hydrocarbon fraction in crude oil was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Relative abundance (\%)} = ((A/B)/(A_0/B_0)) \times 100$$

Note:

A = Peak area of specific fraction at time = t

B = Peak area pristane at time = t

A₀ = Peak area of specific fraction at time = 0

B₀ = Peak area of specific pristane at time = 0

2.3 Bacterial analysis

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed to measure the abundance of ribosomal and two functional genes respectively as follow: 16S rRNA (ribosomal gene, for estimating total number of bacteria (Nadkarni et al. 2002), *bssA* (Benzysuccinate synthase α-subunit, which catalyzes the addition of fumarate in toluene degradation (Funk et al. 2014); for estimating abundance of toluene-degrading bacteria), and *dssA* (Dissimilatory sulfite-reductase α-subunit, which involved in reduction of sulfite (Widdel and Hanser, 1992); for estimating the abundance of SRB).

Standard genes for 16S rRNA and *dssA* used in this study were isolated from *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* (ATCC 13699), while *bssA* standard gene was isolated from *Desulfotignum* sp. (isolated in this lab). All the isolated genes were cloned using pGEM-T vector system (Promega Corp. Madison, WI) in *E. coli* JM109 competent cells as described previously (Yan et al. 2008; Tanji et al. 2014). PCR was performed in 20-µL volumes containing 10 µL Thunderbird™ SYBR® qPCR mix (TOYOBO Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan), 0.4 µL of 10 µM forward and reverse primers (the primers set for each gene was

available in Table 1), 0.4 μ L of 50 \times ROX reference dye, 6.8 μ L of Bacterial free water and 2 μ L of DNA sample. The qPCR was performed on a Step One Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystem, Waltham, MA) with the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 20 s; 40 cycles (95°C for 30 s for denaturation and annealing temperature of 60°C for 30 s) and one additional melt-curve cycle (95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 60 s, and 95°C for 15 s). The standard curves produced in each measurement had R^2 values of 0.99 \pm 0.05 and efficiency value for 16S rRNA, *bssA*, and *dsrA*, respectively was: 98%, 90%, and 93% (detail information was shown as supplementary information, table SI-4). The abundance of these genes was analyzed statistically using Student's t-test (type 3) and population Pearson correlation coefficient.

The bacterial community was examined using an Illumina MiSeq sequencing system. The 16S rRNA metagenome sequencing library was generated by two-stage PCR with different primer sets, as shown in table 1. The first-stage PCR was performed in 25- μ L volumes containing 2.5 μ L 10 \times ExTaq buffer, 2 μ L dNTP Mix, 0.4 μ L of 10 μ M of each primer, 0.625U ExTaq polymerase (Takara Bio Inc., Japan), and 1 μ L of the DNA extract with PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min; 25–30 cycles (95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s and 72°C for 1 min) and final elongation at 72°C for 5 min. PCR fragments was observed by electrophoresis using 2% agarose gel in 1X TBE (8.9 mM Tris, 8.9 mM Boric acid, 2 mM EDTA) to confirmed the expected size of product (512 bp). The product of first-stage PCR was purified and the DNA concentration was measured, for the second-stage PCR the purified DNA concentration from first-PCR was adjusted to 2.5 ng/ μ L as DNA template.

The second-stage PCR used primers containing overhanging adapter sequences for the target locus using Nextera® XT Index Kit v2 Set D (Illumina Inc., CA, USA) (Table 1). The second-stage PCR was performed in 25- μ L volumes containing 2.5 μ L 10 \times ExTaq buffer, 2 μ L dNTP Mix, 5 μ L of indexed primer, 0.625U ExTaq polymerase (Takara Bio Inc., Japan), and 1 μ L of purified DNA from 1st PCR with PCR conditions as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min; 8 cycles (95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s and 72°C for 1 min) and final elongation at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products from each stage were purified using the QIAquick® PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). The final

product was then analyzed quantitatively and qualitatively using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE). The Illumina MiSeq sequencing was performed by Hokkaido System Science. All the results of Illumina MiSeq sequencing reads was deposited in NCBI BioProject (accession number PRJNA360017). All 16S rRNA MiSeq sequencing reads analyzed using QIIME version 1.9.1 (Caporaso et al. 2010).

3. Results

3.1 Souring and volatile fatty acid production

Chemical analysis was performed on four conditions (Fig. 1). In the abiotic control, we observed no changes of measured anion concentration or production of volatile fatty acid (data not shown). Under the control condition ($N_{w/o}$), we observed a decrease in sulfate and an increase in sulfide. After the 70-day incubation, sulfate decreased by 10 mM and sulfide increased by 6 mM. The addition of nitrate at day 28 was done since on day 28 the SRB already reached the active state, it was confirmed by the continuous production of hydrogen sulfide along with reduction of sulfate. Thus, condition was ideal to introduce nitrate in order to see the effect of its to SRB population (Fig. 1, N_{28}). Furthermore, a drastic reduction in sulfide and increase in sulfate were observed 7 days after nitrate addition (Fig. 1, N_{28}), indicating that addition of nitrate could treat souring. At the end of the experiment, the sulfide produced in N_{28} had completely disappeared. The decrease in sulfide concentration in N_{28} resulted in an increase in the sulfate concentration (Fig. 1, N_{28}); i.e., the sulfide reduction and sulfate production were not equal. Meanwhile, after addition of nitrate on day 28, we observed a reduction in nitrate (to 5.7 mM) and production of less than 1 mM nitrite. Thus, addition of nitrate when SRB are active can mask souring activity.

Moreover, in the N_0 condition, we did not observe a major decrease in sulfate nor production of sulfide (both signs of bacterial souring) in comparison with $N_{w/o}$. Thus, the addition of nitrate at the beginning totally masked biological souring. Meanwhile, in N_0 , nitrate continuously decreased to 7.4 mM on day 70, and less than 1 mM nitrite was produced. The reduction of nitrate and production of nitrite were unequal, possibly because nitrite, the intermediate product, can be further reduced to

ammonia and nitrogen gas. Thus, NRB were present in N₀. Based on VFA production (Fig. 2), acetate production was observed only in conditions N_{w/o} and N₂₈; the concentration reached its peak with the value of 260 µM after 14 days of incubation, and then started to decline. When nitrate added to condition N₂₈, the production of acetate was completely abolished. Production of other VFAs was undetected in all conditions.

3.2 Substrate consumption

Based on GC analysis, in the aromatic fraction, only toluene was efficiently consumed during the study (Fig. 3a, mostly in N_{w/o} and N₂₈; detailed chromatogram was shown as supplementary information, Fig S1-1). In the N_{w/o} condition, the toluene concentration continuously decreased and was fully consumed by day 42. The addition of nitrate in the N₂₈ condition decreased the consumption of toluene relative to N_{w/o}, in which toluene was fully consumed after a 70-day incubation. By contrast, toluene depletion in N₀ was slower than under the other conditions: at the end of the experiment, less than 50% of toluene in N₀ had been consumed. In addition to toluene consumption, consumption of benzene was also observed under all three conditions. As shown in Fig. 3b, consumption of benzene started to accelerate after day 42 in N₀, but after day 56 in other conditions. Thus, benzene degradation was affected by the presence of toluene and contribute as alternative carbon source after toluene.

3.3 Analysis of bacterial dynamics

The bacterial dynamics was analyzed using two type of approach, next-generation sequencing (Illumina Miseq) and quantification of ribosomal and functional genes. The next-generation sequencing was aimed to reveal bacterial consortia based on 16S rRNA gene diversity, while the gene quantifications was aimed to quantify particular gene which reflect the abundance of particular group of bacteria such as SRB (*dsrA*) and toluene-degrading bacteria (*bssA*). The quantification of ribosomal and functional genes in all conditions was shown in Fig. 4.

In general, the quantification of the 16S rRNA gene under all conditions revealed that the number of total bacteria decreased over time. There was no significant difference of 16S rRNA abundance among all conditions ($P > 0.5$), except for abiotic control which the 16S rRNA abundance keep stable at

10² – 10³ copies/mL (data not shown). By contrast, the copy numbers of the other two genes, which targeted specific bacteria, exhibited different trends. Quantification of *dsrA* gene, which reflect the abundance of SRB, increased in all condition except N₀. The abundance of *dsrA* after 27mM nitrate addition at the beginning was remained stable around 10⁴ copy number/mL until day 28 and decreased by one log on the following day, indicate the SRB growth was suppressed in this condition. While the abundance of *dsrA* on N_{w/o} and N₂₈ was stable around 10⁷ copy number/mL, three log higher than the initial condition (t=0). Quantification of *bssA* revealed that the abundance of toluene-degrading bacteria increased under all conditions, especially in N_{w/o} and N₂₈; the gene was least abundant under the N₀ condition. Therefore, the addition of nitrate at the beginning of the experiment might influence the abundance of toluene-degrading bacteria and SRB, as the abundance of *dsrA* and *bssA* in N₀ against N_{w/o} and N₂₈ was significantly different (P< 0.05). Furthermore, based on the data shown in Fig. 4, the abundance of *bssA* corresponded to the abundance of *dsrA*, even though the absolute abundances differed. Thus was supported by the population Pearson Correlation Coefficient, which the abundance of *dsrA* and *bssA* in N_{w/o} and N₂₈ has strong correlation ($\rho > 0.85$), while in N₀ the abundance of these functional gene has moderate correlation ($0.80 > \rho > 0.50$).

In Fig. 5, the structure of bacterial community in all conditions through the time was plot in histogram and tandem with the abundance of 16S rRNA gene quantification by qPCR to reflect the total number of bacteria in all sample (dot graph- second axis). In average around 90% of total read could be classified at the genus level, and genera with relative abundances below 1% were grouped as ‘other’. Based on NGS analysis, the bacterial community of OFW was dominated by *Arcobacter* sp., followed by *Thalassospira* sp., *Desulfotignum* sp., and *Fusibacter* sp. (Fig. 5, t₀ in all conditions). *Arcobacter* sp. is considered an NR-SOB because it can oxidize sulfide chemoautotrophically by reducing nitrate as an electron acceptor (De Gusseme et al. 2009; Tang et al. 2012).

In general, the bacterial communities in N_{w/o} and N₂₈ were similar. In these conditions, *Desulfotignum*, a member of the Deltaproteobacteria class, was more abundant. *Desulfotignum* is an SRB (Drzyga et al., 1993). Thus, the enrichment of these particular bacteria provides evidence of souring

under the $N_{w/o}$ and N_{28} conditions (before nitrate addition) (Fig. 1). After nitrate addition, the community remained similar until the end of experiment. Unlike $N_{w/o}$ and N_{28} , in which *Desulfotignum* were greatly enriched, *Thalassospira* was enriched in N_0 . *Thalassospira*, a member of the Alphaproteobacteria, is an NRB (Kodama et al. 2008). However, the presence of *Desulfotignum* was still detected under this condition, especially at day 28, when its abundance was obviously increased (Fig 5, N_0). Relative to Fig. 4- N_0 , the ratio between copy number of *dsrA* and 16S rRNA gene increased significantly from day 14 to day 28, providing further support for the presence of *Desulfotignum* in N_0 . Bacterial community analysis proved that addition of nitrate at the beginning could stimulate the growth of NRB and repress the growth of SRB, even though it could still not fully eliminate SRB from the community.

Other genera present at relatively low abundance in all conditions could be distinguished into two main groups: (1) fermentative bacteria, which can use hydrocarbon as their carbon source and produce organic acid with/without utilize sulfate or nitrate as electron acceptor (Sherry et al. 2013), consisting of *Acinetobacter* sp., *Clostridium* sp., *Shewanella* sp., and *Sphaerocheata* sp.; and (2) heterotrophic NRB (hNRB), which used hydrocarbon and/or organic acid as electron donor while reduce nitrate, consisting of members of the *Pseudomonaceae*, *Peptococcaceae*, *Marinobacterium* sp. (Feng et al. 2011; Ruan et al., 2016).


4. Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the effects of nitrate addition on biological souring and its impact on the bacterial community. The bacterial community could be divided into four groups (Fig. 6): (1) fermentative bacteria, (2) hNRB, (3) NR-SOB, and (4) SRB. Each group was thought to play special role in biological souring under each condition.



SRB and hNRB might share similar sources of electron donors, such as the hydrocarbon fraction in crude oil and/or VFA (Grigoryan et al. 2008). In this study, the most favorable electron donors used by SRB and hNRB were different. Based on Fig. 2 and 3, in $N_{w/o}$ (which was dominated by SRB) toluene acted as the main electron donor as well as the carbon source. The dominant SRB in $N_{w/o}$ and N_{28} were identified as *Desulfotignum* sp., suspected to be the main degrader of toluene under all conditions. These

bacteria have been reported as potential threat to cause souring by reducing sulfate while using toluene and VFA as an electron donor as well as the main carbon source (Hasegawa et al. 2014; Tanji et al. 2014, Li et al. 2016). By contrast, in N₀ no VFA was detected from the beginning of the experiment, and the degradation of the hydrocarbon fraction was slow in comparison with the other conditions. Thus, based on this study the bacteria in N₀, which were dominated by hNRB, tended to use VFA as their main electron donor (Agrawal et al. 2012). The dominant hNRB in N₀ was identified as *Thalassospira* sp., which can degrade various type of aromatic hydrocarbons, including poly-aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) (Liu et al. 2007). The ability of *Thalassospira* sp. to degrade toluene under anaerobic condition was still unclear. In addition, all the less dominant genera showed in this study (such as *Acinetobacter* sp., *Clostridium* sp., *Marinobacterium* sp., and *Pseudomonaceae*) was known to have ability to degrade aromatic hydrocarbon (Zylstra et al. 1997, Feng et al. 2011, and Ruan et al. 2016), which also responsible for degradation of aromatic fraction in conditions with or without nitrate addition (Fig. 3). The absence of VFA in general during this study may because of the VFA that has been produced by fermentative bacteria and SRB was readily consumed by hNRB as most favorable electron donor (Fig.6). As discussed in Gittel et al (2009), nitrate reduction is thermodynamically more favorable than sulfate reduction, it results higher biomass yields by oxidation of electron donor that can be utilized by both sulfate and nitrate reducers, e.g. volatile fatty acids

Furthermore, after addition of nitrate in N₂₈, the sulfide produced by SRB was fully oxidized and no additional sulfide was produced, although the bacterial community did not change. The dominant bacteria in N₂₈ were classified as NR-SOB and SRB. NR-SOB are chemoautotrophic bacteria that can oxidize sulfide coupled to reduction of nitrate. Oxidation of sulfide under denitrifying condition could lead to formation of sulphur or sulfate based on the following reaction (Cardoso et al. 2006; Tang et al. 2009):



Meanwhile, hNRB and SRB reduce nitrate and sulfate, respectively, according to the following equations (Coombe et al. 2010; Sunde and Torsvik, 2005):



Based on the equation (a) and (b), sulfide could be completely oxidized into sulfate or partially oxidized into sulfur. The detail metabolism of sulfide oxidation under denitrifying condition of NR-SOB in the community was still unclear and need further studied. Based on equation (c) and (d), toluene will oxidize faster when coupling with sulfate reduction compare to nitrate, which explain the phenomena on Fig 3.

As mentioned earlier the addition of nitrate not only enrich the NRB as the competitor for SRB, but also create toxic environment for SRB by producing nitrite as intermediate metabolite. The presence of nitrate and nitrite in the environment may pose a specific stress to SRB; consistent with this, these ions suppress sulfate reduction ability *in situ* (Jenneman et al, 1989; Davidova et al, 2001; Qiang et al, 2010). Therefore, some SRB have developed defense mechanisms by acquiring nitrate/nitrite reducing enzymes (Greene et al. 2003; Preira et al. 2000; Haveman et al. 2005). In such cases, SRB may also act as NRB in the presence of nitrate and therefore could maintain SRB community under nitrate-rich environment. In addition, NR-SOB could engage in synergetic interactions with SRB, which can reduce the produced sulfide concomitant with nitrate reduction. Then, resulting condition which less toxic to other bacteria ((1) and (2), Fig. 6) to survive, as sulfide was toxic to the most of bacteria. In this context, chemical analysis monitoring (as pictured in Fig 1, N₂₈ after nitrate addition) could yield false-negative results about the activity of SRB. If such interaction were to happen over a long period, it could cause an SRB outbreak after nitrate was fully reduced. Therefore, complete elimination of SRB from the community remains challenging.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, nitrate injection represents a promising tool for preventing and treating souring in oil exploration, especially offshore. Application of nitrate injection at the places where souring already happened, might be less effective compare with the one where have not. Therefore, the process itself

should be monitored closely to prevent SRB outbreaks, which could cause larger problems. Moreover, the use of lower nitrate concentrations is recommended, given that in this study we observed a nitrate reduction of less than 10 mM of nitrate during a 70-day experiment.

Acknowledgments

Samples were provided by International Petroleum Exploration Corporation (INPEX, Tokyo, Japan).

Conflict of interest and funding

The authors declare they have no conflict of interest. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors

References

- Agrawal A, Park HS, Nathoo S, Gieg LM, Jack TR, Miner K, Ertmoed R, Benko A, Voordow G (2012) Toluene depletion in produced oil contributes to souring control in a field subjected to nitrate injection. *Enviro Sci. Technol* 46: 1285-1292. doi: 10.1021/es203748b
- Ben-Dov, E, Brenner, A, Kushmaro, A (2007) Quantification of sulfate-reducing bacterial in industrial wastewater, by Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) using *dsrA* and *apsA* Genes. *Microb. Ecol.* 54: 439-451. Doi: 10.1007/s00248-007-9233-2
- Bru, D, Martin-Laurent, F, Philippot, L (2008) Quantification of the detrimental effect of a single primer-template mismatch by Real-Time PCR using the 16S rDNA Gene as an Example. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol* 74 (5): 1660-1663. Doi://10.1128/AEM.020403-07
- Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello, EK, Fierer N, Peña AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI, Huttley GA, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koenig JE, Ley RE, Lozupone CA, McDonald D, Muegge BD, Pirrung M, Reeder J, Sevinsky JR, Turnbaugh PJ, Walters WA, Widmann

343 J, Yatsunencko T, Zaneveld J, Knight R (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community
344 sequencing data. *Nature Method* 7: 335-336. Doi: 10.1038/nmeth.f.303

345 Cardoso RB, Sierra-Alvarez R, Rowlette P, Flores ER, Gomez J, Field JA (2006) Sulfide oxidation
346 under chemolithoautotrophic denitrifying conditions. *Biotechnol. Bioeng* 95: 1148-1157

347 Coombe, D, Jack TR, Voordow G, Zhang F, Clay B, and Minner D (2010) Stimulation of bacterial
348 souring control in Albertian heavy oil reservoir. *J. can Pet Technol* 49(5): 19-26

349 Davidova I, Hicks MS, Fedorak PM, Suflita JM. (2001). The influence of nitrate on microbial processes
350 in oil industry production waters. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* 27: 80–86.

351 De Gusseme BD, Schryver PD, Cooman PD, Verbeken K, Boeckx P, Verstaete W, Boon N (2009)
352 Nitrate-reducing, sulfide-oxidizing bacteria as microbial oxidants for rapid biological sulfide removal.
353 *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 67: 151-161. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.00598.x

354 Dunsmore, B, Youldon, J, Thrasher DR, and Vance, I (2006) Effect of nitrate treatment on a mixed
355 species in oil field microbial biofilm. *J. Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* 3: 454-462

356 Drzyga, O, Kuver, J, and Blotvogel KH (1993) Complete oxidation of benzoate and 4-hydroxybenzoate
357 by a new sulfate-reducing bacterium resembling *Desulfoarculus*. *Arch Microbiol* 159(2): 109-113 .
358 PMID : 8439232

359 Feng WW, Liu JF, Gu JD, Mu BZ (2011) Nitrate-reducing community in production water of three oil
360 reservoirs and their responses to different carbon source revealed by nitrate-reductase encoding gene
361 (*napA*) *Int. Biodet Biodegradation* 65:1081-1086

362 Funk, MA, Judd, ET, Marsh, EN, Elliot, SJ, and Drennan, CL (2014) Structures of Benzylsuccinate
363 synthase elucidate roles of accessory subunits in glycyl radical enzyme activation and activity. *PNAS*
364 111 (28) : 10161-10166. doi: /10.1073/pnas.1405983111

365 Gieg LM, Jack TR, and Foght JM (2011) Biological souring and mitigation in oil reservoir. *Appl*
366 *Microbiol Biotechnol* 92: 263-282. Doi: 10.1007/s00253-011-3542-6

367 Gittel,A, Sorensen,K,B, Skovhus,T,L, Ingvorse,K, and Schramm,A (2009) Prokaryotic community
 368 structure and sulfate reducer activity in water from high-temperature oil reservoir with and without
 369 nitrate treatment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol* 75 (22): 7086-7096

370 Greene EA, Hubert C, Jenneman GE, Voordouw G (2003) Nitrite reductase activity of sulphate-
 371 reducing bacteria prevents their inhibition by nitrate-reducing, sulfide-oxidizing bacteria.
 372 *Environmental Microbiology* 5(7):607-617. Doi: 10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00446.x

373 Grigoryan AA, Cornish SI, Buziak B, Lin S, Cavallaro A, Arensdorf JJ, Voordouw G (2008)
 374 Competitive oxidation of volatile fatty acids by sulfate- and nitrate- reducing bacteria from an oilfield
 375 in Argentina. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74: 4324-4335. Doi: 10.1128/AEM.00419-08

376 Hasegawa R, Toyama K, Miyanaga K, Tanji Y (2014) Identification of crude-oil components and
 377 microorganisms that cause souring under anaerobic conditions. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 98: 1853-
 378 1861. Doi: 10.1007/s00253-013-5107-3

379 Haveman SA, Greene EA, Voordouw, G (2005) Gene expression analysis of the mechanism of
 380 inhibition of *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenbrough by nitrate-reducing, sulfide-oxidizing bacteria.
 381 *Environ Microbiol* 7(9): 1461-1465. Doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00834.x

382 Jayaraman A, Mansfeld FB, and Wood TK (1999) Inhibiting sulfate-reducing bacteria in biofilms by
 383 expressing the antimicrobial peptides indolicidin and bactenecin. *J Ind Microbiol Biotech* 22: 167-175.
 384 doi:10.1038/sj.jim.2900627

385 Jenneman GE, McInerney MJ, Knapp RM (1986) Effect of nitrate on biogenic sulfide production. *Appl*
 386 *Environ Microbiol* 51: 1205–1211.

387 Kaster KM, Grigorian A, Jenneman G, and Voordouw G (2007) Effect of nitrate and nitrite on sulfide
 388 production by two thermophilic, sulfate-reducing enrichment from an oil field in the North Sea. *Appl*
 389 *Microbiol Biotechnol* 75: 193-203. doi:10.1007/s00253-006-0796-5

390 Kjellerup BV, Veeh RH, Sumithraratne P, Thomsen TR, Buckingham-Meyer K, Frolund B, and Sturman
 391 P (2005) Monitoring of microbial souring in chemically treated, produced water biofilm system using

392 molecular technique. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 32: 163-170. Doi: 10.1007/s10295-005-0222-

393 Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplles J, Quast C, et al. (2013) Evaluation of general 16S

394 ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies.

395 Nucleic Acid Res. 41(1): e1. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks808

396 Kodama Y, Stiknowati LI, Ueki A, Ueki K, and Watanabe K (2008) *Thalassospira tepidiphila* sp. nov./

397 a polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-degrading bacterium isolated from seawater. Int J Syst Evol

398 Microbiol 58: 711-715. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.65476-0.

399 Li XX, Liu JF, Yao F, Wu WL, Yang SZ, Mbadigna SM, Gu JD, Mu BZ (2016) Dominance of

400 *Desulfotignum* in sulfate-reducing community in high-sulfate production-water of high temperature and

401 corrosive petroleum reservoirs. Int. Biodeterior Biodegradation 114: 45-56. doi:

402 10.1016/j.ibiod.2016.05.018

403 Liu C, Wu Y, Li L, Ma Y, and Shao Z (2007) *Thalassospira xiamenensis* sp. nov. and *Thalassospira*

404 *profundimaris* sp.nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58: 316-320. Doi:10.1099/ijs.0.64544-0

405 Muyzer, G, Hottebtrager, S, Teske, A, Waver,C (1996) Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of PCR-

406 amplified 16S rDNA. A new molecular approach to analyze the genetic diversity of mixed microbial

407 communities, p.3.4.4.1-3.4.4.22. In A.D.L. Akkermans, J.D. van Elsas, and F.J. de Bruijin (ed.),

408 Molecular microbial ecology manual. Kluwer Academic Publishing, Dordrecht, The Netherland

409 Myhr S, Lillebo B-LP, Sunde E, Beeder J, and Torsvik T (2002) Inhibition of microbial H₂S production

410 in an oil reservoir model column by nitrate injection. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 58: 400-408. Doi:

411 10.1007/s00253-001-0881-8

412 Nadkarni, M, A, Martin, F, E, Jacques, N,A, Hunter, N (2002) Determination of bacterial load by Real-

413 Time PCR using a broad range (universal) probe and primer set. Microbiology 148: 257-266

414 Nemati M, Mazutinec TJ, Jenneman GE, and Voordow G. (2001) Control of biogenic H₂S production

415 with nitrate and molybdate. J Ind Microbiol Biotech 26: 350-355. Doi: 10.1038/sj.jim.7000142

416 Ochi T, Kitagawa M, and Tanaka S (1998) Controlling sulfide generation in force mains by air injection.
 417 Water sci technol 37: 87-95. doi:10.1016/S0273-1223(98)00003-1

418 Pereira IAC, LeGall J, Xavier AV, and Teixeira M (2000) Characterization of heme c nitrite reductase
 419 from a non-ammonifying microorganism, *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenbrough. Biochim Biophys Acta
 420 1481 (1): 119-130. Doi: 10.1016/S0167-4838(00)00111-4

421 Plankaert M (2005) Oil reservoir and oil production. In: Ollivier B, Magot M (eds) Petroleum
 422 microbiology. ASM, Washington DC, 123-142

423 Qiang He, Zhili He, Joyner DC, Joachimiak, M, Price MN, Yang ZK, Yen HB, Hemme CL, Chen W,
 424 Fields MW, Stahl DA, Keasling JD, Keller M, Arkin AP, Hazen TC, Wall JD, and Zhou J. (2010) Impact
 425 of elevated nitrate on sulfate-reducing bacteria: a comparative study of *Desulfovibrio vulgaris*. ISME J.
 426 4: 1386-1397

427 Ruan MY, Liang B, Mbadinga SM, Zhou L, Wang LY, Liu JF, Gu JD, Mu BZ (2016) Molecular
 428 diversity of bacterial *bamA* gene involved in anaerobic degradation of aromatic hydrocarbons in
 429 mesophilic petroleum reservoirs. Int. Biodeterior Biodegradation 114: 122-128. doi:
 430 10.1016/j.ibiod.2016.06.005

431 Sunde E, Torsvik T (2005) Microbial control of hydrogen sulfide production in oil reservoirs. In:
 432 Ollivier B, Magot M (eds) Petroleum microbiology. ASM, Washington DC, pp 201–213

433 Sherry A, Gray ND, Ditchfield AK, Aitken CM, Jones DM, Roling WFM, Hallman C, Larter SR,
 434 Bowler BFJ, and Head IM (2013) Anaerobic biodegradation of crude oil under sulphate-reducing
 435 conditions leads to only modest enrichment of recognized sulphate-reducing taxa. Int Biodeterior
 436 Biodegradation 81: 105-113. Doi: 10.1016/j.ibiod.2012.04.009

437 Tang K, Baskaran V, and Nemati M (2009) Bacteria of the sulphur cycle: an overview of microbiology,
 438 biokinetic, and their role in petroleum and mining industries. Biochem Eng J 44 (1): 73-94. Doi:
 439 10.1016/j.bej.2008.12.011

440 Tang, YQ, Li Y, Zhao JY, Chi CQ, Li XH, Dong HP, and Wu XL (2012) Microbial communities in
 441 long-term, water flooded petroleum reservoir with different in situ temperatures in the Huabei oilfield,
 442 China. PLoS ONE 7 (3): 335-353. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0033535

443 Tanji Y, Toyama K, Hasegawa R, and Miyanaga K (2014) Biological souring of crude oil under
 444 anaerobic condition. Biochem Eng J 90: 114-120. Doi: 10.1016/j.bej.2014.05.023

445 Trueper HG and Schlegel HG (1964) Sulfur metabolism in *Thiorhodaceae* I. quantitative measurements
 446 on growing cells of *Chromatium okenii*. Anton Leeuw J Gen M 30: 225-238.

447 Wagner, M, Roger, AJ, Flax, JL, Brusseau, GA, Stahl, DA (1998) Phylogeny of dissimilatory sulfite
 448 reductases supports an early origin of sulfate respiration. J Bacteriol 180: 2975-2982

449 Widdel F and Hansen TA (1992) The dissimilatory sulfate- and sulfur- reducing bacteria. In: Balows A,
 450 Truper G, Dworkin M, Harder W, Scheifer KH (ed.), The prokaryotes, 2nd, vol.1. Springer, New York,
 451 pp: 583-624

452 Yan ST, Miyanaga K, and Tanji Y (2008) Succession of bacterial community and enzymatic activities
 453 of activated sludge by heat treatment for reduction of excess sludge. Biochem Eng J 39: 598-603.
 454 doi:10.1016/j.bej.2007.12.002

455 Yin B, Williams T, Koehler T, Morris B, and Manna K (2016) Targeted microbial control for
 456 hydrocarbon reservoir: Identify new biocide offerings for souring control using thermophile testing
 457 capabilities. Int Biodeterior Biodegradation xxx: 1-4. doi: 10.1016/j.ibiod.2016.07.019

458 Zylstra, G, J, Kim, E, and Goyal, A, K (1997) Comparative molecular analysis of genes for polycyclic
 459 aromatic hydrocarbon degradation. Gent. Eng. 19: 257-269

Figure




Fig. 1 Chemical analysis of sulfate (open circle), sulfide (closed circle), nitrate (open triangle), and nitrite (closed triangle). Arrow indicates time of nitrate injection (n=3)




Fig. 2 Acetate production in abiotic control (square), $N_{w/o}$ (triangle), N_{28} (circle) over 70 days of incubation. Arrow indicates time of nitrate injection ($n=3$). In N_0 condition the production of acetate was undetected




Fig. 3 Substrate consumption (a) toluene and (b) benzene in $N_{w/o}$ (triangle), N_{28} (circle), and N_0 (diamond) over 70 days of incubation. Arrow indicates time of nitrate injection ($n=1$).






Fig. 4 Abundance of 16S rRNA (diamond), *dsrA* (triangle), and *bssA* (square) genes in N_{w/o}, N₂₈, and N₀ over 70 days of incubation. Arrow indicates time of nitrate injection (n=3). Presence of 16S rRNA gene in abiotic control was constantly detected as $\pm 10^3$ copy number/mL




Fig.5 Bacterial community profile in 70 days of incubation, as determined by 16S rRNA gene quantification (diamond). Arrow indicates time of nitrate addition.




Fig. 6 Possible microbial interactions under microbial souring following nitrate injection

Table 1. List of primer set

Target Gene	Sequence (5' → 3')	Amplicon size	Reference
16S rRNA (qPCR)			
341F	CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG	206 bp	[16,41,42]
519R	ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG		
(Illumina 1 st PCR)			[43]
16S-misseq F	TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGA	512 bp	
16S-misseq R	CAGCCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAG ACAGGACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC		
<i>dsrA</i>			
DSR1F-deg	ACSCAYTGGAARCACG	200 bp	[44,45]
RH3-dsr-R	GGTGGAGCCGTGCATGTT		
<i>bssA</i>			
bssA-q-F	TTCAGCAAGGAAGGGAAGGGAACGG	118 bp	This study
bssA-q-R	TTCAGCAAGGAAGGGAAGGGAACGG		